arXiv:1603.01013v1 [math.NT] 3 Mar 2016

ON TRIPLE ZETA VALUES OF EVEN WEIGHT AND THEIR CONNECTIONS WITH PERIOD POLYNOMIALS

DING MA, KOJI TASAKA

Abstract. We study triple zeta values of even weight and show various connections with period polynomials. As a result, an (expected) upper bound of the dimension of the vector space spanned by certain triple zeta values is obtained.

1. Introduction

In 1994, Zagier [21, p.508] (see also [22, Section 3]) found a remarkable connection between double zeta values and cusp forms for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, which he described as "*starting at weight* $k = 12$ *there are relations over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *among the numbers* $\zeta(a, k-a)$ *(*a *odd,* $1 \leq a \leq k-2$*) and the number of such relations is equal to the number of linearly independent cusp forms of weight* k" (for the correct assertion we have changed the range of a in the original sentence). Then, in 2006, Gangl, Kaneko and Zagier [10] studied this phenomena in detail and proved that for each even integer $k \geq 12$ the numbers $\zeta(a, k-a)$ (a odd, $3 \leq a \leq k-2$) satisfy $\dim S_k$ relations, modulo $\mathbb{Q}\zeta(k)$, over $\mathbb{Q}$, where S_k is the space of cusp forms of weight k for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In this paper, as one of next-expected generalisations, we examine $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations among $\zeta(\mathit{even}, \mathit{odd}, \mathit{odd})$'s, among $\zeta(\mathit{odd}, \mathit{even}, \mathit{odd})$'s and among $\zeta(\mathit{odd}, \mathit{odd}, \mathit{even})$'s modulo lower depths, and relate them with cusp forms, or rather, period polynomials. A similar study for $\zeta(\mathit{odd}, \mathit{odd}, \mathit{odd})$ has been done by the second author (see [18]). Our study will give a common generalisation of the study of linear relations among $\zeta(\mathit{odd}, \mathit{even})$'s and among $\zeta(\mathit{even}, \mathit{odd})$'s

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F32, Secondary 11F67.
Key words and phrases. Multiple zeta values, Period polynomials.
This work is partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (No. 15J01659).

established by Zagier [23] as well, which was studied by the first author [8, 9] in more detail.

Let us present our main results first. Instead of studying real multiple zeta values (see (2.1)), we will mainly treat the motivic multiple zeta value

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r),$$

since our study starts with the Galois theoretical argument developed by Brown. We will employee the motivic multiple zeta value defined in [4, Definition 2.1], in which $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)$ is not treated as zero. Let us denote by

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$$

the depth-graded motivic multiple zeta value, which is the motivic multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ modulo lower depths. In this paper, we introduce *j-th almost totally odd motivic multiple zeta values* which are depth-graded motivic multiple zeta values $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ at the sequence consisting of an even integer $n_j \geq 2$ at the j-th index and odd integers $n_i \geq 3$ for $i \neq j$ (Definition 4.1). In particular, we only consider j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta values for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ in this paper: let

$$\mathcal{T}^{(j)}_N$$

denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by all j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta values of weight N. For instance, the space $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}_{10}$ is spanned by $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(5, 2, 3), \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(3, 4, 3)$ and $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(3, 2, 5)$, and the space $\mathcal{T}^{(3)}_8$ is spanned by $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(3, 3, 2)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The space $\mathcal{T}^{(j)}_N$ is defined to be the trivial space when there is no j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta value of weight N.

The main results are as follows. Set

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = \frac{x^2}{1-x^2} = x^2 + x^4 + \cdots, \ \mathbb{O}(x) = \frac{x^3}{1-x^2} = x^3 + x^5 + \cdots$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}(x) = \sum_{k>0} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_k x^k = \frac{x^{12}}{(1-x^4)(1-x^6)} = x^{12} + x^{16} + \cdots.$$

The notation $\sum a_N x^N \leq \sum b_N x^N$ means $a_N \leq b_N$ for all N.

Theorem 1.1. *For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{T}_N^{(j)} x^N \leq \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{O}(x)^2 - \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).$$

Theorem 1.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{T}_N^{(3)} x^N \leq \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{O}(x)^2 - \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x) - (\frac{1}{x} + x)\mathbb{O}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).$$

Roughly speaking, these theorems say that there are $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations among almost totally odd triple zeta values corresponding to cusp forms since the coefficient of x^N in the power series expansion of $\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{O}(x)^2$ at $x = 0$ coincides with the number of generators of each space $\mathcal{T}_N^{(j)}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$. It is expected that the opposite inequalities in the above theorems hold for $j = 2, 3$ (see Remarks 5.5 and 6.8 for more details).

For the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we will use a method developed by Brown [4]. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by all motivic multiple zeta values. It was shown by Brown that there is a non-canonical isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{U}$ as algebra comodules, where $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}' \otimes \mathbb{Q}[f_2]$ and $\mathcal{U}' = \mathbb{Q}\langle f_{2i+1} \mid i \geq 1\rangle$ are defined in Section 2.4. Our first goal (Section 3) is to compute the image of $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ under the non-canonical isomophism ϕ. We will give an explicit formula of $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3))$ in terms of words consisting of f_n's, modulo $\mathbb{Q}f_{n_1+n_2+n_3}$ (Proposition 3.7). Since this expression uses a linear basis of $\mathcal{U}$, the problem finding $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations among motivic triple zeta values can be reduced to a simple linear algebra problem. Using the coefficients obtained from this expression, we define certain square matrices $C_N^{(j)}$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ (Definition 4.2). We will see that all $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relations among j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta values arise from right annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ (Theorem 4.3), and hence that the rank of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ equals the dimension of the space $\mathcal{T}_N^{(j)}$ (Corollary 4.4). Thus, we shall examine the rank of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$.

Our idea to prove upper bounds of the rank of $C_N^{(j)}$ is to look at left annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ since somehow they are related to the coefficients of *restricted even period polynomials* and *odd period*

polynomials. Here the restricted even period polynomial (resp. the odd period polynomial) of degree $N-2$ is defined as an even (resp. odd), homogeneous polynomial $p(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1,x_2]_{(N-2)}$ of degree $N-2$ such that $p(x_1,0)=0$ and

$$p(x_1,x_2)-p(x_2-x_1,x_2)+p(x_2-x_1,x_1)=0 \tag{1.1}$$

(resp. $p(x_1,x_2)-p(x_1+x_2,x_2)-p(x_1+x_2,x_1)=0$). An important fact about these polynomials is that the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of restricted even period polynomials (resp. odd period polynomials) of degree $N-2$, denoted by $\mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{od}}$), equals the dimension of the space of cusp forms of weight N for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}} x^N = \sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{od}} x^N = \mathbb{S}(x), \tag{1.2}$$

which is known as Eichler–Shimura–Manin correspondence (see [15, Section 1.1] and see also [2, Section 1.1] for the space $\mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}}$). With these conventions, we will show that there are injections from the space of restricted even period polynomials to the left annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ for $j=1,2$ (see Section 5.2: a conjectural connection with the derivative of restricted odd period polynomial will be described in Remark 5.5) and from the space of odd period polynomials, the derivative of restricted even period polynomials and restricted even period polynomials to the left annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(3)}$ (see Sections 6.2 and 6.3). These results give a lower bound of the space of left annihilators of $C_N^{(j)}$, and hence Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are obtained.

Our study has an remarkable application. According to the parity result (see [12, Corollary 8] and [19]), each depth-graded motivic triple zeta value $\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3)$ of even weight N can be expressed as $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combinations of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1,k_2)\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3)$'s with $k_1+k_2+k_3=N$ and k_3 even. As far as we know, there are a few results (see [16, Theorem 5.2] and [17, Eq. (1.11)]) for the explicit expressions of the parity result. For this, as a consequence of our results, we show a newly explicit expression of the j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta value of even weight as $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combinations of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1,k_2)\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3)$'s (Theorem 5.6).

It is worth pointing out that the cases depth ≥ 4 are not treated in this paper, since we can not compute $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4))$ canonically. To compute them, we may need to choose algebra generators in depth 4 corresponding to cusp forms, but these involves solving a few open problems (see [5, 7]), which we do not treat in this paper.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2, we recall the theory of motivic multiple zeta values. In Section 3, an explicit formula of $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3))$ is given. Section 4 studies the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$. We will prove that the rank of these matrices equals the dimension of the space $\mathcal{T}_N^{(j)}$. In Section 5, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 (Section 5.2). This needs a certain lemma, which is treated in Section 5.1. As a consequence of this lemma, one can give an explicit formula for j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta values, which we discuss in Section 5.3. Section 6 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Francis Brown for initial advice and many useful comments.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Motivic multiple zeta values.** The definition of the motivic multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ we use is referred to [4, Definition 2.1]. We remark that $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)$ is not treated to be zero. A more elaborate definition can be found in [6, Section 2.2] where the motivic multiple zeta value is defined as a motivic period of the Tannakian category of the mixed Tate motives over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by all motivic multiple zeta values. As usual, we call $n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ the weight and r the depth for $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$. The space $\mathcal{H}$ has the structure of a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{N \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_N,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_N$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by all motivic multiple zeta values of weight N. We regard $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ as the unique motivic multiple zeta value of weight 0 and depth 0 and the product is naturally given by the shuffle product of iterated integrals (see (2.3) below). There is the period map from the space $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ sending the motivic

multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,\dots,n_r)$ to the real number $\zeta(n_1,\dots,n_r)$, or rather, the regularised multiple zeta value with respect to the shuffle product (see [12, Section 2], and also [1, Definition 3.1] for our setting). Here the multiple zeta value is defined by

$$\zeta(n_1,\dots,n_r)=\sum_{0<k_1<\cdots<k_r}\frac{1}{k_1^{n_1}\cdots k_r^{n_r}}, \tag{2.1}$$

for integers $n_1,\dots,n_{r-1}\geq 1$ and $n_r\geq 2$.

2.2. **Motivic iterated integrals.** To compute the coaction on the space $\mathcal{H}$, we recall the motivic iterated integrals.

For $a_i\in\{0,1\}$ we denote by

$$I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_0;a_1,\dots,a_N;a_{N+1})\in\mathcal{H}_N \tag{2.2}$$

the motivic iterated integral (see [4, (2.16)]), which is defined by extending Goncharov's motivic iterated integrals [11]. With this, the motivic multiple zeta value is given in the following manner:

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,\dots,n_r)=I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0;1,\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{n_1-1},\dots,1,\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{n_r-1};1).$$

For latter reference, we recall fundamental properties satisfied by motivic iterated integrals (see [4, p.955]):

- The unit is given by $I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a;b)$ for $a,b\in\{0,1\}$.
- The product is given by the shuffle product: for all integers $N,N'\geq 0$ and $a_i\in\{0,1\}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_0;a_1,\dots,a_N;a_{N+N'+1})I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_0;a_{N+1},\dots,a_{N+N'};a_{N+N'+1})\\&=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma(N,N')}I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_0;a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)},\dots,a_{\sigma^{-1}(N+N')};a_{N+N'+1}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

  where $\Sigma(N,N')$ is the set of σ in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{N+N'}$ such that $\sigma(1)<\cdots<\sigma(N)$ and $\sigma(N+1)<\cdots<\sigma(N+N')$.
- For $N\geq 1$ and $a_i,a\in\{0,1\}$, we have

$$I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a;a_1,\dots,a_N;a)=0. \tag{2.4}$$

- For any $a_i\in\{0,1\}$, we have

$$I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_0;a_1,\dots,a_N;a_{N+1})=(-1)^N I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_{N+1};a_N,\dots,a_1;a_0). \tag{2.5}$$

We remark that the last identity (2.5) essentially follows from (2.3) and the path composition formula [11, (iii) p.224] satisfied by iterated integrals.

We further remark that for any $a, b, c \in \{0, 1\}$, since $I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a; b; c) \in \mathcal{H}_1 = \{0\}$, it can be seen from (2.3) that

$$0 = \frac{1}{n!} I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a; b; c)^n = I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a; \underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{n}; c) \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{2.6}$$

2.3. **Coaction on the space $\mathcal{H}$.** We recall the coaction Δ on the space $\mathcal{H}$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the quotient algebra $\mathcal{H}/\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)\mathcal{H}$ and by $I^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the image of an motivic iterated integral $I^{\mathfrak{m}}$ in the space $\mathcal{A}$. Then the space $\mathcal{H}$ forms a graded $\mathcal{A}$-comodule over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [4, Theorem 2.4]) with the coaction

$$\Delta : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}.$$

This coaction Δ can be computed for the element (2.2) by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} \sum_{\substack{i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k < i_{k+1} \\ i_0 = 0, i_{k+1} = N+1}} & \Bigg\{ \prod_{p=0}^{k} I^{\mathfrak{a}}(a_{i_p}; a_{i_p+1}, \dots, a_{i_{p+1}-1}; a_{i_{p+1}}) \\ & \otimes I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_0, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}; a_{N+1}) \Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

which can be found in [4, Eq. (2.18)]. It is originally given by Goncharov (see [11, Eq. (27)]) with the factors interchanged. We note that the quotient space $\mathcal{A}$ carries a Hopf algebra structure with the product given by the shuffle product and the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ induced by the coaction Δ on $\mathcal{H}$.

2.4. **Algebra comodule structure of the space $\mathcal{H}$.** The $\mathcal{A}$-comodule $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to a certain universal comodule $\mathcal{U}$. We will now describe the comodule $\mathcal{U}$ and a certain non-canonical isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{U}$.

Let $\mathcal{U}'$ be the noncommutative polynomial algebra freely generated by symbols f_{2i+1} in weight $2i + 1$:

$$\mathcal{U}' = \mathbb{Q}\langle f_{2i+1} \mid i \geq 1 \rangle.$$

The vector space $\mathcal{U}'$ is equipped with the product given by the shuffle product ш

$$f_{i_1}\cdots f_{i_r} \text{ ш } f_{i_{r+1}}\cdots f_{i_{r+s}} = \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma(r,s)} f_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}\cdots f_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(r+s)}}$$

and the coproduct given by the deconcatenation $\Delta^\bullet$

$$\Delta^\bullet(f_{i_1}\cdots f_{i_r}) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} f_{i_1}\cdots f_{i_j}\otimes f_{i_{j+1}}\cdots f_{i_r}. \tag{2.8}$$

With this, the space $\mathcal{U}'$ is a Hopf algebra, where the antipode $\mathcal{S}$ (see [13, Proposition V.2.4.]) is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{S}(f_{i_1}\cdots f_{i_r}) = (-1)^r f_{i_r}\cdots f_{i_1}.$$

which we do not use in this paper. We note that the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}'$ is isomorphic to the ring of regular functions on the unipotent radical of the motivic Galois group of the Tannakian category of the mixed Tate motives over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let us consider the graded vector space

$$\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}'\otimes\mathbb{Q}[f_2] = \bigoplus_{N\geq 0}\mathcal{U}_N,$$

where f_2 is a commutative symbol of weight 2 and the graded part of weight N of $\mathcal{U}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{U}_N$. The space $\mathcal{U}$ carries a graded $\mathcal{U}'$-comodule structure, with the coaction $\Delta^\bullet : \mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{U}'\otimes\mathcal{U}$ satisfying $\Delta^\bullet(f_2) = 1\otimes f_2$ and $\Delta^\bullet(wf_2^k) = \Delta^\bullet(w)\Delta^\bullet(f_2)^k$ for any $k > 0$ and $w\in\mathcal{U}'$.

It was shown by Goncharov that there is a non-canonical embedding $\phi' : \mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{U}'$ as Hopf algebras. Since we have $\mathcal{H}\cong\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathbb{Q}[\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)]$ and $\Delta(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)) = 1\otimes\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)$, the embedding ϕ' can be extended to the algebra comodule homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{U}$, i.e. $\Delta^\bullet\circ\phi = (\phi'\otimes\phi)\circ\Delta$ holds. By [4, Lemma 3.2], we can normalise the choice of embedding $\phi : \mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{U}$ as $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N)) = f_N$ for $N\geq 2$, where we put

$$f_{2k} := \frac{\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2k)}{\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)^k}f_2^k \ (k\geq 1).$$

Since the Hoffman basis conjecture is true for motivic multiple zeta values [4, Theorem 1.1], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *There is a non-canonical isomorphism*

$$\phi : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

as algebra comodules, sending $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N)$ *to* f_N *for* $N \geq 2$.

Computing the image of the motivic multiple zeta value under the isomorphism ϕ plays a crucial role in this paper. In the next section, we shall compute $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2))$ and $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3))$ by using the Brown operator.

3. A computation of the isomorphism ϕ

3.1. **The Brown operator D_m.** Let us recall an infinitesimal version of the coaction Δ introduced by Brown [4, Definition 3.1].

Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{A}_{>0}/(\mathcal{A}_{>0})^2$ be the tangent space of $\mathcal{A}$, which is a Lie coalgebra, and $\pi_m : \mathcal{A}_{>0} \to \mathcal{L}_m$ the natural projection taking the graded part of weight m of the graded vector space $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{m>0} \mathcal{L}_m$.

Definition 3.1 (The Brown operator)**.** *We define the linear map* D_m *for all odd integer* $m > 1$ *by the following composition map:*

$$D_m : \mathcal{H} \stackrel{\Delta-1\otimes \mathrm{id}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{A}_{>0} \otimes \mathcal{H} \stackrel{\pi_m \otimes \mathrm{id}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{L}_m \otimes \mathcal{H}.$$

One can easily check that the map D_m is a derivation (i.e. $D_m(\xi_1\xi_2) = (1 \otimes \xi_1)D_m(\xi_2) + (1 \otimes \xi_2)D_m(\xi_1)$ for any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$). From (2.7), we find that the formula of the map D_m for the element (2.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{p=0}^{N-m} \pi_m(I^{\mathfrak{a}}(a_p; a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{p+m}; a_{p+m+1})) \\ &\otimes I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_p, a_{p+m+1}, \ldots, a_N; a_{N+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

As in [4, p.959], in the formula (3.1), we call the sequence of consecutive elements $(a_p; a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{p+m}; a_{p+m+1})$ on the left a *subsequence of length* m of the original sequence and $(a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_p, a_{p+m+1}, \ldots, a_N; a_{N+1})$ a *quotient sequence*. For the subsequence $(a_p; a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{p+m}; a_{p+m+1})$, we call the number of 1's in $\{a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{p+m}\}$ the *depth*.

3.2. **A computation of the Brown operator D_m.** In this subsection, we compute the Brown operator for motivic multiple zeta values.

We employee the following notations as used in [18]. Define $\delta\binom{m_1,\ldots,m_r}{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ as the Kronecker delta given by

$$\delta\binom{m_1,\ldots,m_r}{n_1,\ldots,n_r} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m_i = n_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1,\ldots,r\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and the integer $b^m_{n,n'}$ $(n, n', m \in \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$b^m_{n,n'} = (-1)^n \binom{m-1}{n-1} + (-1)^{n'-m} \binom{m-1}{n'-1},$$

where $\binom{n}{m} = 0$ for each $m < 0$. It is obvious that for any odd integer $m > 1$ one has

$$b^m_{n,n'} + b^m_{n',n} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.2. *For $r > 1$ and r-tuples of positive integers $(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ and $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$, we define*

$$e\binom{m_1,\ldots,m_r}{n_1,\ldots,n_r} = \delta\binom{m_1,\ldots,m_r}{n_1,\ldots,n_r} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \delta\binom{m_2,\ldots,m_i,m_{i+2},\ldots,m_r}{n_1,\ldots,n_{i-1},n_{i+2},\ldots,n_r} b^{m_1}_{n_i,n_{i+1}} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and let $e\binom{m_1}{n_1} = \delta\binom{m_1}{n_1}$.

Let us denote by ξ_m an image of $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m)$ in the space $\mathcal{L}$ and by $\mathfrak{D}_r\mathcal{H}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by all motivic multiple zeta values of depth $\leq r$. We now compute the coefficient of $\xi_m \otimes \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m_2, \ldots, m_r)$ in the formula $D_m(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, \ldots, n_r))$.

Proposition 3.3. *For any integers $n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 1$ with $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ and odd $m > 1$, the element*

$$D_m\big(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\big) - \sum_{\substack{m_1+\cdots+m_r=N \\ m_1,\ldots,m_r\geq 1}} \delta\binom{m_1}{m} e\binom{m_1,\ldots,m_r}{n_1,\ldots,n_r} \xi_{m_1} \otimes \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m_2,\ldots,m_r)$$

lies in $\mathcal{L}_m \otimes \mathfrak{D}_{r-2}\mathcal{H}_{N-m}$, where $\mathfrak{D}_r\mathcal{H}_N = \mathfrak{D}_r\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_N$.

Proof. We apply D_m, with odd $m \geq 3$, to the element

$$I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0; 1, \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{n_1-1}, 1, \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{n_2-1}, \ldots, 1, \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{n_r-1}; 1).$$

Every subsequence of depth ≥ 2 gives rise to a quotient sequence with $r-2$ or less 1's, so it lies in $\mathcal{L}_m \otimes \mathfrak{D}_{r-2}\mathcal{H}_{N-m}$. We now consider the case

when the subsequence is of depth 1. By the relations (2.4) and (2.6), every subsequence of depth 1 and length m is one of the following two forms:

$$\text{(a)} \qquad (0;\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{i},1,\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{j};1),$$

$$\text{(b)} \qquad (1;\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{i},1,\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{j};0),$$

where $i+j+1=m$ with $i,j\geq 0$. By (2.5), the case (b) is in turn computed from the case (a). For the case (a), repeated use of (2.3) and (2.6) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0;\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{i},1,\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{j};1)\\ &=\sum_{\substack{r+s=i+j\\ r,s\geq 0}}(-1)^{r-j}\binom{r}{j}I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0;1,\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{r};1)I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0;\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{s};1)\\ &=(-1)^{i}\binom{i+j}{j}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(i+j+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, every subsequence of depth 1 and length m produces an element in $\mathbb{Q}\xi_m\otimes\mathfrak{D}_{r-1}\mathcal{H}_{N-m}$ (the depth on the right is of exactly $r-1$). Summing these up, one obtains

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1+\cdots+m_r=N\\ m_1,\dots,m_r\geq 1}}\delta\tbinom{m}{m_1}e\tbinom{m_1,\dots,m_r}{n_1,\dots,n_r}\xi_{m_1}\otimes\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m_2,\dots,m_r),$$

which completes the proof. □

For $r=2$ and 3, Proposition 3.3 can be stated as follows.

Corollary 3.4. (i) *For integers $N,n_1,n_2\geq 1$ with $N=n_1+n_2$ and an odd integer m with $N>m>1$, we have*

$$D_m(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2))=e\tbinom{m,N-m}{n_1,n_2}\xi_m\otimes\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N-m).$$

(ii) *For integers $N, n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 1$ with $N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ and an odd integer m with $N > m > 1$, we have*

$$D_m(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3)) = \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=N \\ k_1,k_2,k_3 \geq 1}} \delta\tbinom{m}{k_1} e\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} \xi_{k_1} \otimes \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_2, k_3) + a_m(n_1, n_2, n_3)\xi_m \otimes \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N-m),$$

with some $a_m(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{D}_0\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Q}$, Corollary 3.4 (i) is immediate from Proposition 3.3. We now prove (ii). In this case, every subsequence has at most depth 2. By the parity result for double zeta values (see [23, Proposition 7] and also Remark 3.8), we have

$$\pi_m\big(I^{\mathfrak{a}}(0; \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k}; 1)\big) \in \mathbb{Q}\xi_m.$$

Thus, when the subsequence is of depth 2, it lies in $\mathbb{Q}\xi_m \otimes \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N-m)$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.5. Although one can give a complicated formula for the coefficient $a_m(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Q}$, we do not use it below.

3.3. **An explicit formula of $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3))$.** We now give an explicit formula of $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3))$.

Our formula involves the following integers.

Definition 3.6. *For integers $m_1, m_2, m_3, n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 1$ with $N = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$, we let*

$$c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} = \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=N \\ k_1,k_2,k_3 \geq 1}} \delta\tbinom{m_1}{k_1} e\tbinom{m_2,m_3}{k_2,k_3} e\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3},$$

where $e\binom{m_2,m_3}{k_2,k_3}$ and $e\binom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}$ are defined in Definition 3.2.

Proposition 3.7. *(i) For any integer $N, n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ with $N = n_1 + n_2$, the image of $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2)$ under the isomorphism ϕ can be written in the form*

$$\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2)) = \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N \\ m_1 \geq 3\text{:odd} \\ m_2 \geq 2}} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} f_{m_1} f_{m_2} + a f_N$$

with some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) For any integers $N, n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 1$ *with* $N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$, *we have*

$$\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3)) - \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2+m_3=N \\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd} \\ m_3\geq 2}} c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} f_{m_1} f_{m_2} f_{m_3} \in \mathcal{U}_{N,2},$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{N,2}$ *is the* $\mathbb{Q}$*-vector space spanned by* $f_{2n+1} f_{N-2n-1}$ $(1 \leq n < (N-1)/2)$ *and* f_N.

Proof. We recall the infinitesimal version of the coaction $\Delta^\bullet$ defined in (2.8) on $\mathcal{U}$ (see [4, Eq. (2.25)]) and the result [4, Lemma 2.4]. Set $L := \mathcal{U}'_{>0} / (\mathcal{U}'_{>0})^2$, which is a graded vector space $L = \bigoplus_{m>0} L_m$. Let $\pi'_m : \mathcal{U}'_{>0} \to L_m$ be the projection. For each odd $m > 1$, let us define $D^\bullet_m$ by the composition map

$$D^\bullet_m : \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\Delta^\bullet - 1 \otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathcal{U}'_{>0} \otimes \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\pi'_m \otimes \mathrm{id}} L_m \otimes \mathcal{U}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{D_m} & \mathcal{L}_m \otimes \mathcal{H} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\phi} \otimes \phi} \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{D^\bullet_m} & L_m \otimes \mathcal{U} \end{array} , \tag{3.3}$$

where $\overline{\phi}$ is the isomorphism $\overline{\phi} : \mathcal{L} \to L$ induced by ϕ, which sends ξ_m to $\overline{f}_m := \pi'_m(f_m)$. Note that by [4, Lemma 2.4] we have

$$\ker \sum_{\substack{1<m<N \\ m:\text{odd}}} D^\bullet_m \big|_{\mathcal{U}_N} = \mathbb{Q} f_N.$$

For the statement (i), it follows from (3.3) and Corollary 3.4 (i) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1<m<N \\ m:\text{odd}}} D^\bullet_m \circ \phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2)) &= \sum_{\substack{1<m<N \\ m:\text{odd}}} (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ D_m(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1<m<N \\ m:\text{odd}}} e\tbinom{m,N-m}{n_1,n_2} \overline{f}_m \otimes f_{N-m}, \end{aligned}$$

where we take $f_1 = 0$. We also have

$$\sum_{\substack{1<m<N \\ m:\text{odd}}} D^\bullet_m \Big(\sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N \\ m_1\geq 3:\text{odd} \\ m_2\geq 2}} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} f_{m_1} f_{m_2} \Big) = \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N \\ m_1\geq 3:\text{odd} \\ m_2\geq 2}} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} \overline{f}_{m_1} \otimes f_{m_2}.$$

Thus, one gets

$$\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2)) - \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N\\ m_1\geq 3:\text{odd}\\ m_2\geq 2}} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} f_{m_1} f_{m_2} \in \ker \sum_{\substack{1<m<N\\ m:\text{odd}}} D_m^{\bullet},$$

from which the assertion (i) follows.

Let us prove the assertion (ii). By (3.3) and Corollary 3.4 (ii), one computes

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_m^{\bullet} \circ \phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3))\\
&= (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ D_m(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3))\\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=N\\ k_1,k_2,k_3\geq 1}} e\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} \pi'_m(f_{k_1}) \otimes \phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_2,k_3))\\
&+ a_m(n_1,n_2,n_3)\overline{f}_m \otimes f_{N-m},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, by Proposition 3.7 (i) and Definition 3.6, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{1<m<N\\ m:\text{odd}}} D_m^{\bullet} \circ \phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3))\\
&= \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2+m_3=N\\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd}}} c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} \pi'_{m_1}(f_{m_1}) \otimes f_{m_2} f_{m_3}\\
&+ \sum_{\substack{1<m<N\\ m:\text{odd}}} a_m \overline{f}_m \otimes f_{N-m}
\end{aligned}$$

with some $a_m \in \mathbb{Q}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{1<m<N\\ m:\text{odd}}} D_m^{\bullet}\Big(\sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2+m_3=N\\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd}\\ m_3\geq 2}} c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} f_{m_1} f_{m_2} f_{m_3}\Big)\\
&= \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2+m_3=N\\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd}\\ m_3\geq 2}} c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} \overline{f}_{m_1} \otimes f_{m_2} f_{m_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3)) - \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2+m_3=N\\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd}\\ m_3\geq 2}} c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} f_{m_1} f_{m_2} f_{m_3}$$

$$-\sum_{\substack{1<m<N\\ m:\text{odd}}} a_m f_m f_{N-m} \in \ker \sum_{\substack{1<m<N\\ m:\text{odd}}} D^{\bullet}_m,$$

from which the assertion (ii) follows. □

We remark that by above method we can not determine explicit coefficients of lower terms, such as f_N. However, using the explicit rational associator constructed by Brown [7, Section 7], one may be able to give a closed formula for $\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3))$, which we do not need in this paper.

Remark 3.8. As a corollary of Proposition 3.7 (i), for $N \geq 5$ odd and positive integers $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ with $N = n_1 + n_2$, one immediately obtains

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2) \equiv \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N\\ m_1\geq 3:\text{odd}\\ m_2\geq 2:\text{even}}} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m_1)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m_2) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N)}.$$

This was proved by Zagier [21, Proposition 7] with an explicit coefficient of $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N)$ provided. Similarly, we will give an explicit formula of the parity result for certain motivic triple zeta values of even weight in Section 4.3.

4. Linear relations among almost totally odd motivic triple zeta values

4.1. **Almost totally odd motivic multiple zeta values.** We recall the depth-graded motivic multiple zeta values and introduce j-th almost totally odd motivic multiple zeta values.

Denote by $\mathfrak{D}$ the depth filtration (see [5, Section 4]), which is an increasing filtration on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathfrak{D}_0\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathfrak{D}_1\mathcal{H} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{D}_r\mathcal{H} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}.$$

We note that the space $\mathcal{H}$ has the structure of a filtered algebra with respect to the depth filtration $\mathfrak{D}$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{D}_r\mathcal{H} \cdot \mathfrak{D}_s\mathcal{H} \subset \mathfrak{D}_{r+s}\mathcal{H}$$

holds for any $r, s \geq 0$.

Consider the following graded algebra:

$$\mathrm{gr}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{H} := \bigoplus_{r\geq 1} \mathfrak{D}_r\mathcal{H}/\mathfrak{D}_{r-1}\mathcal{H}.$$

We denote by

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$$

an image of $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$ in the bigraded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{H}$, called the *depth-graded motivic multiple zeta value*. Note that by definition $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(2)$ is non-zero.

Definition 4.1. *For an integer $r \geq j \geq 1$, the depth-graded motivic multiple zeta value $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$ is called a j-th almost totally odd motivic multiple zeta value if $n_j \geq 2$ is even and other n_i's are odd and greater than 1.*

We remark that the totally odd motivic multiple zeta values, which are elements in $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{A}$ of the form $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(2n_1+1,\ldots,2n_r+1)$, were originally introduced by Brown [5, Section 10] in recasting the Broadhurst-Kreimer conjecture [3] as a statement of the homology of the depth-graded motivic Lie algebra (see [5, Conjecture 4]). It is believed that the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by totally odd motivic multiple zeta values is isomorphic to the graded dual of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{odd}}$ of the depth-graded motivic Lie algebra generated by canonical generators in depth 1, and the generating series of the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by totally odd multiple zeta values of weight N and depth r is therefore given by

$$\frac{1}{1-\mathbb{O}(x)y+\mathbb{S}(x)y^2}.$$

Our almost totally odd motivic multiple zeta value is a parity-analogue of totally odd one, so it is natural to expect that the generating series of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by almost totally odd multiple zeta values of weight N and depth r is given by

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(x)y}{1-\mathbb{O}(x)y+\mathbb{S}(x)y^2},$$

where the depth 1 space is defined to be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m)$'s ($m>0$). After this, this expectation will not be discussed

in any detail. We will restrict our attention to the j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta value.

For $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, let

$$\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)} = \{(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\geq 2} \mid N = n_1{+}n_2{+}n_3,\ n_j : \text{even},\ n_i : \text{odd}\ (i \neq j)\}$$

be the set of j-th almost totally odd indices of weight N and depth 3. Let us denote by $\mathcal{T}_N^{(j)}$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by all j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta values of weight N:

$$\mathcal{T}_N^{(j)} := \langle \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathfrak{D}}(n_1,n_2,n_3) \mid (n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

The space $\mathcal{T}_N^{(j)}$ is defined to be the trivial space whenever $\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)} = \emptyset$.

4.2. **Matrices $C_N^{(j)}$.** We define the matrices $C_N^{(j)}$ mentioned in the introduction and clarify the meaning of the right (resp. left) annihilator of them.

Let d_N be the cardinality of the set $\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$. Note that

$$\sum_{N>0} d_N x^N = \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{O}(x)^2.$$

We now define $d_N \times d_N$ matrices $C_N^{(j)}$ for $j \in \{1,2,3\}$ as follows.

Definition 4.2. *For each integer $N > 0$ and $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, the $d_N \times d_N$ matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ is defined by*

$$C_N^{(j)} = \left(c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} \right)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)} \\ (n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}}},$$

whose rows and columns are indexed by (m_1,m_2,m_3) and (n_1,n_2,n_3) in the sets $\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$ and $\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$, respectively, where the integers $c\binom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}$ are defined in Definition 3.6.

In the next subsection, we shall discuss the right annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$. Before doing this, we introduce vector spaces $\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(j)}$ $(j = 1,2,3)$ and specify the meaning of the right (and left) annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$.

For $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, we denote by $\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(j)}$ the d_N-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ of row vectors $(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}}$ indexed by j-th almost totally odd indices $(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$ with rational coefficients:

$$\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(j)} = \{(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}} \mid a_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

The matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ induces a linear map from $\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$ to $\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(j)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} C_N^{(j)} : \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)} &\longrightarrow \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(j)} \\ v &\longmapsto v \cdot C_N^{(j)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that, for a row vector $v = (a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}} \in \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$, we have

$$C_N^{(j)}\big(v\big) = \Big(\sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}} a_{m_1,m_2,m_3} c\big(\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\big) \Big)_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}},$$

where we regard $C_N^{(j)}$ as an empty matrix (i.e. $\operatorname{rank} C_N^{(j)} = 0$) when $d_N = 0$. It is clear that a row vector $v = (a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}} \in \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$ satisfies $C_N^{(j)}(v) = 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}} a_{m_1,m_2,m_3} c\big(\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\big) = 0$$

for all $(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$. Hereafter, we denote by $\ker C_N^{(j)}$ the subspace of $\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$ consisting of left annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$.

Since each matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ is square, the equality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker {}^t C_N^{(j)} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(j)} \tag{4.1}$$

holds, where the space $\ker {}^t C_N^{(j)}$, which is a subspace of $\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(j)}$, describes all right annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$. We note that the linear map ${}^t C_N^{(j)} : \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(j)} \to \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$ is given for $v = (a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}}$ by

$${}^t C_N^{(j)}(v) = \Big(\sum_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}} a_{n_1,n_2,n_3} c\big(\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\big) \Big)_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}}.$$

4.3. **Right annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$.** In this subsection, we prove that all linear relations among j-th almost totally odd motivic triple zeta values of weight N arise from right annihilators of the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$.

Theorem 4.3. *For $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear relation of the form*

$$\sum_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}} a_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3) = 0$$

holds if and only if the coefficient vector $(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}}$ lies in $\ker {}^tC_N^{(j)}$.

Proof. Let $(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}}$ be an element in $\ker {}^tC_N^{(j)}$ and set

$$\xi = \sum_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}} a_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3).$$

We prove that $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N$. Note that by Proposition 3.7 (ii) we have $\phi(\xi) \in \mathcal{U}_{N,2}$. The element ξ has an expression of ξ in terms of double zeta values and single zeta values because of the parity result (see [12, Corollary 8]). More precisely, since for each even integer $N > 0$ the space $\mathfrak{D}_3\mathcal{H}_N$ is generated by the elements $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_3)$ with $(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N$, the element ξ can be expressed as a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of the form

$$\xi = \sum_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}} b_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_3) + \gamma \tag{4.2}$$

with some $b_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N$. Applying ϕ to the form (4.2), one obtains

$$\phi(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{n_3\geq 2\\ n_3:\text{even}}} \phi\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=N-n_3\\ n_1,n_2\geq 3\\ n_1,n_2:\text{odd}}} b_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2)\Bigg)\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_3)) + \phi(\gamma).$$

From Proposition 3.7 (i), the first term in the right-hand side of the above equation is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of $f_{odd}f_{odd}f_{even}$'s and f_N. Since $\phi(\xi) \in \mathcal{U}_{N,2}$, we have

$$\phi\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=N-n_3\\ n_1,n_2\geq 3\\ n_1,n_2:\text{odd}}} b_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2)\Bigg) \in \mathbb{Q}f_{N-n_3},$$

and hence $\phi(\xi-\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}f_N$. Thus, one has $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N$.

We are now going to prove that if we have

$$\xi = \sum_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}} a_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N,$$

then the coefficient vector $(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}}$ lies in $\ker {}^tC_N^{(j)}$. Since we have $D_m(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N)) = \pi_m(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(N))\otimes 1$, for any $\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N$ and $(m_1,m_2,m_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$ it follows that

$$\big(\mathrm{id}\otimes D_{m_2}\big)\circ D_{m_1}(\gamma) = 0.$$

By Definition 3.6 and Corollary 3.4, for $(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$ and $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$, one has

$$\big(\mathrm{id}\otimes D_{m_2}\big)\circ D_{m_1}\big(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3)\big) = c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\xi_{m_1}\otimes\xi_{m_2}\otimes\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m_3).$$

Then, the statement follows from $\big(\mathrm{id}\otimes D_{m_2}\big)\circ D_{m_1}(\xi) = 0$, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.4. *For any $N > 0$ even and $j \in \{1,2,3\}$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{T}_{N,3}^{(j)} = d_N - \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker {}^tC_N^{(j)} = \operatorname{rank} C_N^{(j)}.$$

Proof. This is immediate form Theorem 4.3. □

Let us illustrate a few examples of linear relations obtained from Theorem 4.3.

Example 4.5. For the matrix $C_N^{(3)}$, the first non-trivial linear relation is obtained from the matrix

$$C_{12}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} c\binom{7,3,2}{7,3,2} & c\binom{7,3,2}{5,5,2} & c\binom{7,3,2}{3,7,2} & c\binom{7,3,2}{5,3,4} & c\binom{7,3,2}{3,5,4} & c\binom{7,3,2}{3,3,6} \\ c\binom{5,5,2}{7,3,2} & c\binom{5,5,2}{5,5,2} & c\binom{5,5,2}{3,7,2} & c\binom{5,5,2}{5,3,4} & c\binom{5,5,2}{3,5,4} & c\binom{5,5,2}{3,3,6} \\ c\binom{3,7,2}{7,3,2} & c\binom{3,7,2}{5,5,2} & c\binom{3,7,2}{3,7,2} & c\binom{3,7,2}{5,3,4} & c\binom{3,7,2}{3,5,4} & c\binom{3,7,2}{3,3,6} \\ c\binom{5,3,4}{7,3,2} & c\binom{5,3,4}{5,5,2} & c\binom{5,3,4}{3,7,2} & c\binom{5,3,4}{5,3,4} & c\binom{5,3,4}{3,5,4} & c\binom{5,3,4}{3,3,6} \\ c\binom{3,5,4}{7,3,2} & c\binom{3,5,4}{5,5,2} & c\binom{3,5,4}{3,7,2} & c\binom{3,5,4}{5,3,4} & c\binom{3,5,4}{3,5,4} & c\binom{3,5,4}{3,3,6} \\ c\binom{3,3,6}{7,3,2} & c\binom{3,3,6}{5,5,2} & c\binom{3,3,6}{3,7,2} & c\binom{3,3,6}{5,3,4} & c\binom{3,3,6}{3,5,4} & c\binom{3,3,6}{3,3,6} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -30 & 0 & 42 & 0 & 70 & 42 \\ -24 & 16 & 64 & -20 & 100 & 60 \\ 12 & 70 & 42 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -12 & 8 & 12 & 20 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 8 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, the space $\ker {}^tC_{12}^{(3)}$ is generated by the vector ${}^t(0,0,0,0,3,-5)$, which gives the simple relation

$$3\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,5,4) - 5\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,3,6) = 0.$$

The first non-zero element in $\ker {}^tC_N^{(2)}$ happens when $N = 14$:

$$C_{14}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -24 & 0 & 0 & -18 & -252 & 0 & -168 & -378 & -252 & -180 \\ -60 & -30 & -28 & -60 & -200 & -315 & -210 & -294 & -315 & -300 \\ -84 & -170 & -168 & -84 & -144 & -315 & -154 & -210 & -231 & -252 \\ -96 & -420 & -420 & -90 & -84 & 0 & 0 & -126 & 0 & -36 \\ -6 & -12 & -14 & 0 & -20 & -140 & 0 & -63 & -42 & -15 \\ -24 & -40 & -32 & -24 & -64 & -40 & -64 & -24 & -36 & -72 \\ -48 & -82 & -84 & -42 & -20 & 0 & 0 & -21 & 0 & -15 \\ -4 & -10 & -8 & 0 & -24 & 0 & -20 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ -24 & -10 & -8 & -20 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ -8 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, the space $\ker {}^tC_{14}^{(2)}$ is generated by the vector

${}^t(4129020, 2058420, -1041390, -8258040, 8931615, -1193886, -13060635,$

$-7453010, 8998660, 3014130),$

which gives the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&4129020\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(9,2,3) + 2058420\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(7,2,5) - 1041390\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(5,2,7)\\
&- 8258040\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,2,9) + 8931615\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(7,4,3) - 1193886\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(5,4,5)\\
&- 13060635\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,4,7) - 7453010\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(5,6,3) + 8998660\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,6,5)\\
&+ 3014130\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(3,8,3) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.6. The technique we have used can also be applied to the case $\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(even, even, even)$. For $N > 0$ even, consider

$$C_N^{(\mathbf{eee})} = \left(c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\right)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}\\(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{eee})}},$$

where $\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{eee})$ denotes the set of 3-tuple of integers (n_1, n_2, n_3) of weight N whose components are even (see (5.4)). Then, one can show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3) \mid (n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{eee})\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} = \operatorname{rank} C_N^{(\mathbf{eee})}.$$

For this, our numerical experiment suggests that

$$\sum_{N>0} \operatorname{rank} C_N^{(\mathbf{eee})} x^N \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{E}(x)^3 - \mathbb{O}(x)^2 - \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x),$$

which we were not able to prove.

5. The parity (even,odd,odd) and (odd,even,odd)

5.1. **A key lemma.** In this subsection, we first prove certain identities of polynomials, and then give another expression of the integer $c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}$.

For a Laurent polynomial $f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{Q}[x_1^{\pm1},x_2^{\pm1},x_3^{\pm1}]$, consider the following change of variables:

(5.1)
$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|\sigma_1 &= f(x_2-x_1,x_1,x_3)-f(x_2-x_1,x_2,x_3),\\
f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|\sigma_2 &= f(x_3-x_2,x_1,x_2)-f(x_3-x_2,x_1,x_3),\\
f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|\sigma_3 &= f(x_1,x_2-x_3,x_2)-f(x_1,x_2-x_3,x_3),\\
f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|\sigma_4 &= f(x_2-x_1,x_3-x_1,x_1)-f(x_1-x_2,x_3-x_2,x_2),\\
f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|\sigma_5 &= f(x_3-x_2,x_3-x_1,x_3)-f(x_2-x_3,x_2-x_1,x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *For any Laurent polynomial $f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{Q}[x_1^{\pm1},x_2^{\pm1},x_3^{\pm1}]$ satisfying $f(\pm x_1,\pm x_2,x_3)=f(x_1,x_2,x_3)$, we have*

$$(f\big|(1+\sigma_3))\big|(1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2)=(f\big|(1+\sigma_1))\big|(1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3+\sigma_4+\sigma_5), \tag{5.2}$$

where $f\big|(1+\sigma_*)$ means $f+f\big|\sigma_*$.

Proof. This can be verified by a straightforward calculation. For instance, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|\sigma_1\big|\sigma_2 = {}& f(x_1-x_3+x_2,x_3-x_2,x_2)-f(x_1-x_3+x_2,x_1,x_2)\\
&-f(x_1-x_3+x_2,x_3-x_2,x_3)+f(x_1-x_3+x_2,x_1,x_3).
\end{aligned}$$

The identity (5.2) is then obtained by using the assumption $f(\pm x_1,\pm x_2,x_3)=f(x_1,x_2,x_3)$. □

By comparing the coefficients of both sides of the identity (5.2), we obtain another expression of the integer $c\binom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}$. To give it, we use the following notations.

For integers $m_1,m_2,m_3,n_1,n_2,n_3\geq1$, let us define an integer $h\binom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}$ by the formula

(5.3)
$$\begin{aligned}
h\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} &= \delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}+\delta\tbinom{m_2}{n_1}b^{m_1}_{n_2,n_3}+\delta\tbinom{m_1}{n_1}b^{m_2}_{n_2,n_3}\\
&+(-1)^{m_1+m_2+n_3}\binom{m_2-1}{n_3-1}\left((-1)^{n_2}\binom{m_1-1}{n_2-1}-(-1)^{n_1}\binom{m_1-1}{n_1-1}\right)\\
&+(-1)^{n_1}\binom{m_2-1}{n_1-1}\left((-1)^{n_2}\binom{m_1-1}{n_2-1}-(-1)^{n_3}\binom{m_1-1}{n_3-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For any subsets $S_1,\dots,S_r$ of $\mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\mathbb{I}_N(S_1\cdots S_r)=\{(n_1,\dots,n_r)\in S_1\times\cdots\times S_r\mid N=n_1+\cdots+n_r\}. \tag{5.4}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{o}$ (resp. $\mathbf{e}$) the set of all odd integers >1 (resp. all even integers >1), and write $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{o}\cup\mathbf{e}$ (the set of all integers >1). For example, one has $\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}=\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{ooe})$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $N>0$ be an even integer and $j\in\{1,2,3\}$. For any $(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$ and $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$, we have*

$$c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}=\sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})}e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{k_1,k_2}\delta\tbinom{m_3}{k_3}h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}.$$

Proof. For $(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$, set $f(x_1,x_2,x_3)=x_1^{m_1-1}x_2^{m_2-1}x_3^{m_3-1}$. Let us compute the left-hand side of (5.2) first. One computes

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|(1+\sigma_3)\\
&=x_1^{m_1-1}x_2^{m_2-1}x_3^{m_3-1}+x_1^{m_1-1}(x_3-x_2)^{m_2-1}x_2^{m_3-1}-x_1^{m_1-1}(x_3-x_2)^{m_2-1}x_3^{m_3-1}\\
&=\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=N\\ k_1=m_1\\ k_2,k_3\geq 1}}\delta\tbinom{m_1}{k_1}e\tbinom{m_2,m_3}{k_2,k_3}x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}\big|(1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2)\\
&=x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}+(x_2-x_1)^{k_1-1}x_1^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}-(x_2-x_1)^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}\\
&+(x_3-x_2)^{k_1-1}x_1^{k_2-1}x_2^{k_3-1}-(x_3-x_2)^{k_1-1}x_1^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}\\
&=\sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2+n_3=N\\ n_1,n_2,n_3\geq 1}}e\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}x_3^{n_3-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$, by Definition 3.6 we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&c\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\\
&\quad=\text{coefficient of } x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}x_3^{n_3-1} \text{ in } (f\big|(1+\sigma_3))\big|(1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2).
\end{aligned}$$

For the right-hand side of (5.2), it follows

$$f(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|(1+\sigma_1)=\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=N\\ k_1,k_2\geq 1\\ k_3=m_3}}e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{k_1,k_2}\delta\tbinom{m_3}{k_3}x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1},$$

and from (5.3) one has

$$x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}\big|(1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3+\sigma_4+\sigma_5)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1} + (x_3-x_2)^{k_1-1}x_1^{k_2-1}x_2^{k_3-1} - (x_3-x_2)^{k_1-1}x_1^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}\\
&+ x_1^{k_1-1}(x_3-x_2)^{k_2-1}x_2^{k_3-1} - x_1^{k_1-1}(x_3-x_2)^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1}\\
&+ (x_2-x_1)^{k_1-1}(x_3-x_1)^{k_2-1}x_1^{k_3-1} - (x_1-x_2)^{k_1-1}(x_3-x_2)^{k_2-1}x_2^{k_3-1}\\
&+ (x_3-x_2)^{k_1-1}(x_3-x_1)^{k_2-1}x_3^{k_3-1} - (x_2-x_3)^{k_1-1}(x_2-x_1)^{k_2-1}x_2^{k_3-1}\\
&= \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2+n_3=N\\ n_1,n_2,n_3\geq 1}} h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}x_3^{n_3-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, for each $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$, since $h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}=0$ if $k_1=1$ and if $k_2=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{k_1,k_2}\delta\tbinom{m_3}{k_3}h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\\
&= \text{coefficient of } x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}x_3^{n_3-1} \text{ in } (f\big|(1+\sigma_1))\big|(1+\sigma_2+\sigma_3+\sigma_4+\sigma_5).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.1 we complete the proof. □

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In this subsection, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

For $N>0$ even, we define

$$
C_N = \left(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\right)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})\\ (n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aa})}},
$$

whose rows and columns are indexed by (m_1,m_2) and (n_1,n_2) in the sets $\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})$ and $\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aa})$, respectively (for the set $\mathbb{I}_N(**)$, see (5.4)). For this matrix C_N, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *The following map*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}} &\longrightarrow \ker C_N\\
\sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1} &\longmapsto (a_{n_1,n_2})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism as vector spaces.

Proof. This is essentially the same as [2, Proposition 3.2] (see also [18, Proposition 3.4]). For completeness, we give a proof.

Suppose that $p(x_1,x_2)=\sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}$ is in $\mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}}$. Then, by (1.1) we have

$$
0 = p(x_1,x_2) - p(x_2-x_1,x_2) + p(x_2-x_1,x_1)
$$

$$= \sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{m_1,m_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=N \\ n_1,n_2\geq 1}} e\binom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=N \\ n_1,n_2\geq 1}} \left(\sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{m_1,m_2} e\binom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} \right) x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}.$$

Thus, the map is well-defined, and its injectivity is obvious.

The statement that $(a_{n_1,n_2})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})}$ lies in $\ker C_N$ is equivalent to the statement that

$$0 = \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aa})} \left(\sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{m_1,m_2} e\binom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} \right) x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}.$$

Since, it can be shown that $a_{n_1,n_2} = -a_{n_2,n_1}$ for any $(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x_1^{N-2} - x_2^{N-2}) \sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{m_1,m_2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aa})} \left(\sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{m_1,m_2} e\binom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} \right) x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=N \\ n_1,n_2\geq 1}} \left(\sum_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{m_1,m_2} e\binom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} \right) x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the polynomial $p(x_1,x_2) = \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{n_1,n_2} x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}$ lies in $\mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}}$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 5.4. We remark that the matrix

$$\left(e\binom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2} \right)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo}) \\ (n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{ee})}}$$

was treated in [14, Section 3.2], where they proved that there is a bijection from the space of restricted even period polynomials of $\Gamma_0(2)$ to the left annihilators of the above matrix.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Corollary 5.2, the matrix $C_N^{(j)}$ is written as follows:

$$C_N^{(j)} = \Big(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\delta\tbinom{m_3}{n_3}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}\\(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})}} \cdot \Big(h\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})\\(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}}} \cdot$$

Note that the left factor is a block matrix with blocks C_{N-k} for $k = 2, 4, \dots, N-6$:

$$\Big(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\delta\tbinom{m_3}{n_3}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}\\(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})}} = \operatorname{diag}(C_{N-2}, C_{N-4}, \dots, C_6).$$

By linear algebra, the inequality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(j)} \geq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker \operatorname{diag}(C_{N-2}, C_{N-4}, \dots, C_6)$$

holds. As a corollary of Theorem 5.3, for $N > 0$ even, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}},$$

and hence by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(j)} x^N &\geq \mathbb{E}(x) \sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N x^N \\ &= \mathbb{E}(x) \sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{ev}} x^N = \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Then Theorem 1.1 follows from Corollary 4.4 and (4.1). □

Remark 5.5. The above proof works for any $j = 1, 2, 3$, but these do not give enough bounds when $j = 1$ and $j = 3$ (the case $j = 3$ is treated in the next section). Numerically, we have the following conjectures:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(1)} x^N &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x), \\ \sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(2)} x^N &\stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x),\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{T}_N^{(1)} x^N &\stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{O}(x)^2 - \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x), \\ \sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{T}_N^{(2)} x^N &\stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{O}(x)^2 - \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).\end{aligned}$$

These were checked by Mathematica up to $N = 30$. From this, we find that there have to be more elements in $\ker C_N^{(1)}$ corresponding to the x^N-term in

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x) = \mathbb{S}(x),$$

which is nothing but the cusp forms of weight N. For this, we observed a remarkable connection with the derivative of odd period polynomials removing the first and last terms (which should be called the *restricted odd period polynomial*). For example, the row vector $(35, -42, 15, 0, 0, 0)$ is a basis of $\ker C_{12}^{(1)}$, and these coefficients are obtained from the derivative of the odd period polynomial $4x_1^9x_2 - 25x_1^7x_2^3 + 42x_1^5x_2^5 - 25x_1^3x_2^7 + 4x_1x_2^9 \in \mathbf{W}_{12}^{\mathrm{od}}$ removing the term $4x_1^9x_2 + 4x_1x_2^9$. In general, for $p(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{W}_N^{\mathrm{od}}$, let a_{n_1,n_1,n_3} be the coefficient of $x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}x_3^{n_3-1}$ in

$$\frac{x_3}{x_2}\frac{dp}{dx_1}(x_1, x_2).$$

Then, the row vector $(a_{n_1,n_1,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}}$ seemingly lies in $\ker C_N^{(1)}$. This phenomena was verified by Mathematica up to $N = 30$. We hope to discuss this problem in a future publication.

5.3. **The parity result for depth 3.** As we mentioned in Remark 3.8, this subsection gives an explicit formula for the parity result for motivic triple zeta values $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ with $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$. This is a consequence of Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 3.7.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $N > 0$ be an even integer. For each $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$, we have*

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})} h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1, k_2)\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3),$$

where $h\binom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is defined in (5.3).

Proof. It is enough to show that for any $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}$, we have

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1, n_2, n_3) - \sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})} h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1, k_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3) \in \mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N.$$

By the parity result, we know that there exist some rational numbers and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_2\mathcal{H}_N$ such that

$$\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3) = \sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})} a\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1,k_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3)+\gamma. \tag{5.5}$$

By Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\phi\big(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3) - \sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})} h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1,k_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3) - \gamma\big) \in \mathcal{U}_{N,2}.$$

By (5.5), the left-hand side of the above is reduced to

$$\phi\Big(\sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})} \big(a\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} - h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\big)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1,k_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3)\Big). \tag{5.6}$$

Since by Proposition 3.7 (i), for $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})$ we have

$$\phi(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_3)) \in \langle f_{m_1}f_{m_2}f_{m_3} \mid (m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}f_N,$$

it turns out that the element (5.6) lies in $\mathbb{Q}f_N$, and hence

$$\phi\big(\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(n_1,n_2,n_3) - \sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{aae})} h\tbinom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1,k_2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_3) - \gamma\big) \in \mathbb{Q}f_N.$$

This completes the proof. □

6. The parity (odd,odd,even)

6.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** In this subsection, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. For this, we first give an expression of the matrix $C_N^{(3)}$ as a product of two square matrices, and then prove a lower bound of the dimension of the space of left annihilators of each matrices.

Let us define $d_N \times d_N$ matrices E_N and F_N (recall $d_N = |\mathbb{I}_N^{(j)}|$) by

$$F_N = \Big(\delta\tbinom{m_1}{n_1} e\tbinom{m_2,m_3}{n_2,n_3}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}\\(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}}},$$

$$E_N = \Big(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}\\(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}}},$$

whose rows and columns are indexed by (m_1,m_2,m_3) and (n_1,n_2,n_3) in the set $\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$, respectively. By Definition 3.6, for any $(m_1,m_2,m_3),(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in$

$\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$ one finds that

$$c\binom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} = \sum_{(k_1,k_2,k_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}} \delta\binom{m_1}{k_1} e\binom{m_2,m_3}{k_2,k_3} e\binom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{m_1,m_2,m_3},$$

because $\delta\binom{m_1}{k_1} e\binom{m_2,m_3}{k_2,k_3} e\binom{k_1,k_2,k_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} = 0$ for $(k_1,k_2,k_3) \notin \mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$. Then, the $d_N \times d_N$ matrix $C_N^{(3)}$ is written as follows:

$$C_N^{(3)} = F_N \cdot E_N,$$

and hence we obtain

$$\ker C_N^{(3)} = \ker F_N \oplus \big(\operatorname{Im} F_N \cap \ker E_N\big).$$

Thus, once we give a lower bound of the dimension of each of the above spaces on the left, a lower bound of $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(3)}$ is obtained from

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(3)} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker F_N + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\operatorname{Im} F_N \cap \ker E_N\big). \tag{6.1}$$

For this, we shall prove the following inequalities:

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker F_N x^N \geq \big(x + \frac{1}{x}\big)\mathbb{O}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).$$

Proposition 6.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\operatorname{Im} F_N \cap \ker E_N\big) x^N \geq \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).$$

The proofs of Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 are postponed until next subsections.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Combining (6.1) with Propositions 6.1 and 6.2, we have

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(3)} x^N \geq \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x) + (\frac{1}{x} + x)\mathbb{O}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).$$

Thus, by Corollary 4.4 and (4.1), the proof is completed. □

6.2. **Proof of Proposition 6.1.** We first recall the result of Zagier [23, Section 6], and then prove Proposition 6.1.

For $N > 0$ odd, let

$$B_N = \Big(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\\(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})}},$$

where the set $\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})$ is defined in (5.4). For this matrix, we can prove the following theorem, just as Zagier [23, Section 6] did.

Theorem 6.3. *For any $N > 2$ odd, there is an injection*

$$\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{od}}_{N-1} \oplus \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{ev}}_{N+1} \longrightarrow \ker B_N.$$

Proof. The proof is almost the same as the proof in [23, Section 6]. We describe it briefly.

It is easily seen that the assertion that a row vector $(a_{n_1,n_2})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})}$ lies in $\ker B_N$ is equivalent to the statement that the polynomial $q(x_1,x_2) = \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})} a_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}$ satisfies

$$q(x_1,x_2) - q(x_2-x_1,x_2) + q(x_2-x_1,x_1) = (\text{odd polynomial in } x_1).$$

With this, one can easily check that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{od}}_{N-1} &\longrightarrow \ker B_N \\ p(x_1,x_2) = \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_{N-1}(\mathbf{ee})} a_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1} &\longmapsto (a_{n_1-1,n_2})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the image is the coefficient vector of $x_1p(x_1,x_2)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{ev}}_{N+1} &\longrightarrow \ker B_N \\ p(x_1,x_2) = \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_{N+1}(\mathbf{oo})} a_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1} &\longmapsto (n_2a_{n_2+1,n_1})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the image is the coefficient vector of $\frac{dp}{dx_1}(x_2,x_1)$, are well-defined. By definition, the injectivity of each of these maps separately is obvious. The injectivity of the combined map is also obvious since the images have incompatible symmetry properties. □

We remark that combining Theorem 6.3 with (1.2), one has

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker B_N x^N \geq \big(x + \frac{1}{x}\big)\mathbb{S}(x). \tag{6.2}$$

Proof of Proposition 6.1. By definition, for each even integer $N > 0$, the matrix F_N is a block diagonal matrix with blocks B_{N-k} for $k =$

$3, 5, \ldots, N-5$:

$$F_N = \mathrm{diag}(B_{N-3}, B_{N-5}, \ldots, B_5). \tag{6.3}$$

We then obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker F_N = \sum_{\substack{3 \le k \le N-5 \\ k:\mathrm{odd}}} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker B_{N-k},$$

and hence by (6.2) the proof is completed. □

Remark 6.4. It is expected that

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker F_N x^N \stackrel{?}{=} \big(x + \frac{1}{x}\big)\mathbb{O}(x)\mathbb{S}(x),$$

which has been checked by Mathematica up to $N = 30$.

6.3. **Proof of Proposition 6.2.** In this subsection, we show that there is an injective linear map from the space $\mathbf{P}_N$, which is the space of (three variables) restricted even period polynomials, to the space $\mathrm{Im}\, F_N \cap \ker E_N$, and give a proof of Proposition 6.2.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{P}_N$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by the polynomials

$$p(x_1, x_2)x_3^{N-k-1} \quad (p(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{W}_k^{\mathrm{ev}},\ 0 < k \le N).$$

For example, we have $(x_1^2x_2^8 - 3x_1^4x_2^6 + 3x_1^6x_2^4 - x_1^8x_2^2)x_3^{-1} \in \mathbf{P}_{12}$. By definition, for $N > 0$ even one has

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{12 \le k \le N \\ k:\mathrm{even}}} \big(\mathbf{W}_k^{\mathrm{ev}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}x^{N-k-1}\big) \cong \mathbf{P}_N,$$

and hence, by (1.2), it follows that

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{P}_N x^N = \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x). \tag{6.4}$$

In order to describe the injective linear map from $\mathbf{P}_N$ to $\mathrm{Im}\, F_N \cap \ker E_N$, we use the following notions. Consider an extended index set of $\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}$ allowing the cases when $n_3 = 0$:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)} = \{(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^3 \mid n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{o},\ n_3 \ge 0 : \mathrm{even}\}.$$

Its associated vector space is denoted by $\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$:

$$\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)} = \{(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}} \mid a_{n_1,n_2,n_3} \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Our target space $\operatorname{Im} F_N \cap \ker E_N$, which is a subspace of $\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$, can be embedded into the space of $\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$ via the embedding $i_0 : \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$ given by

$$i_0 : \mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$$
$$(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}_N^{(3)}} \longmapsto (a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}},$$

where we simply put $a_{2n+1,N-2n-1,0} = 0$ for all $1 \le n \le N/2-2$. Then, the space $i_0\big(\operatorname{Im} F_N \cap \ker E_N\big) = i_0\big(\operatorname{Im} F_N\big) \cap i_0\big(\ker E_N\big)$ becomes a subspace of $\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$.

Let $\mathbf{V}_N$ be the $|\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}|$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by the set $\{x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}x_3^{n_3-1} \mid (n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}\}$, which is isomorphic to the space $\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$. The isomorphism is denoted by $\rho(=\rho^{(N)})$:

$$\rho : \mathbf{V}_N \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$$
$$x_1^{m_1-1}x_2^{m_2-1}x_3^{m_3-1} \longmapsto \Big(\delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\Big)_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}.$$

We note that the space $\mathbf{P}_N$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{V}_N$, and hence the space $\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)$ is a subspace of $\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $N > 0$ be an even integer. Set*

$$G_N = \Big(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} - \delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}\\ (n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}},$$

which is viewed as a linear map on $\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$. Then, the following map is an injection:

$$G_N : \rho(\mathbf{P}_N) \longrightarrow i_0\big(\operatorname{Im} F_N \cap \ker E_N\big).$$

Proof. The proof is done by showing the following claims:
(Claim 1) $G_N\big(\rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big)\big) \subset i_0\big(\ker E_N\big)$,
(Claim 2) $G_N\big(\rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big)\big) \subset i_0\big(\operatorname{Im} F_N\big)$,
(Claim 3) $\ker G_N \cap \rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$,
where we denote by $\mathbf{0}$ the zero vector in the space $\widehat{\mathsf{Vect}}_N^{(3)}$. By the claims 1 and 2, we find that the map G_N is well-defined, and its injectivity

follows from the claim 3. Thus, the proof of Theorem 6.5 is completed by showing the above claims.

(Claim 1). Define the square matrix $\widehat{E}_N$ by

$$\widehat{E}_N = \Big(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\Big)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}\\(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}}.$$

We first prove $G_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) \subset \ker \widehat{E}_N$, and then $G_N\big(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)\big) \subset i_0\big(\ker E_N\big)$.

By (1.1), for $p(x_1,x_2,x_3) \in \mathbf{P}_N$ we have

$$p(x_1,x_2,x_3)\big|(1+\sigma_1) = 0, \tag{6.5}$$

where σ_1 is defined in (5.1). From this, it is easily seen that we have

$$p(x_1,x_2,x_3) + p(x_2,x_1,x_3) = 0, \tag{6.6}$$

which is equivalent to the antisymmetry property of the coefficients of even period polynomials. Using these two relations, one can check that the following identity holds:

$$\big(p\big|(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)\big)\big|(1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2) = 0, \tag{6.7}$$

which is a special case of [18, Eq. (3,31)]. One easily finds that for each $(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{the coefficient of } x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}x_3^{n_3-1} \text{ in } \big(p\big|(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)\big)\big|(1+\sigma_1+\sigma_2)\\ &= (n_1,n_2,n_3)\text{-th entry of the row vector } \rho(p)\cdot G_N\cdot \widehat{E}_N,\end{aligned}$$

so by (6.7) we have $G_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) \subset \ker \widehat{E}_N$.

Let us turn to the proof of the inclusion $G_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) \subset i_0(\ker E_N)$. For $p \in \mathbf{P}_N$, we write $\rho(p) = (a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}$ and $G_N(\rho(p)) = \big(c_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\big)_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}$, i.e. for each $(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}$ we set

$$c_{n_1,n_2,n_3} = \sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}} a_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\left(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} - \delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}\right). \tag{6.8}$$

Since $e\binom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} = 0$ whenever $m_3 > 0$ and $n_3 = 0$, for $n_1,n_2 \geq 3$ odd with $n_1+n_2 = N$ we find that

$$c_{n_1,n_2,0} = \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N\\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd}}} a_{m_1,m_2,0}\left(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2,0}{n_1,n_2,0} - \delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N \\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd}}} a_{m_1,m_2,0}\left(b^{m_1}_{n_1,n_2} - \delta\tbinom{m_2,m_1}{n_1,n_2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N \\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\text{odd}}} a_{m_1,m_2,0}\left(b^{m_1}_{n_1,n_2} + \delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the third equality we have used the relation

$$
a_{n_1,n_2,n_3} + a_{n_2,n_1,n_3} = 0 \quad \big((n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{(3)}_N\big), \tag{6.9}
$$

which is obtained from (6.6), and for the last equality we have used the relation

$$
\sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{(3)}_N} \left(\delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} + \delta\tbinom{m_3}{n_3} b^{m_1}_{n_1,n_2}\right) a_{m_1,m_2,m_3} = 0 \quad \big((n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{(3)}_N\big), \tag{6.10}
$$

which is obtained from (6.5). Since we have shown $G_N(\rho(p)) \in \ker \widehat{E}_N$, for any $(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{(3)}_N$ it follows

$$
0 = \sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{(3)}_N} c_{m_1,m_2,m_3} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}.
$$

Since $c_{n_1,n_2,0} = 0$, we find that for any $(n_1,n_2,n_3) \in \mathbb{I}^{(3)}_N$ the relation

$$
0 = \sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{I}^{(3)}_N} c_{m_1,m_2,m_3} e\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3}
$$

holds. This implies that the row vector $(c_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\mathbb{I}^{(3)}_N}$ lies in $\ker E_N$, so the claim 1 is done.

(Claim 2). Let us prove the inclusion $G_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) \subset i_0(\ker F_N)$. Define the square matrix $\widehat{F}_N$ by

$$
\widehat{F}_N = \left(\delta\tbinom{m_1}{n_1} e\tbinom{m_2,m_3}{n_2,n_3}\right)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{(3)}_N \\ (n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{(3)}_N}}.
$$

We first prove $G_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) = \widehat{F}_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N))$, and then $\widehat{F}_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) \subset i_0\big(\operatorname{Im} F_N\big)$.

Again, for $p \in \mathbf{P}_N$ we write $\rho(p) = (a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}$ and $G_N(\rho(p)) = \big(c_{n_1,n_2,n_3}\big)_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}$. By Definition 3.2, the equation (6.8) can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n_1,n_2,n_3} &= \sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}} a_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\left(\delta\tbinom{m_3}{n_3} b^{m_1}_{n_1,n_2} + \delta\tbinom{m_2}{n_1} b^{m_1}_{n_2,n_3}\right) \\ &= \sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}} a_{m_1,m_2,m_3}\left(-\delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} - \delta\tbinom{m_1}{n_1} b^{m_2}_{n_2,n_3}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the relations (6.9) and (6.10) for the last equality. It follows that the above last term coincides with the (n_1, n_2, n_3)-th entry of the row vector $-\widehat{F}_N(\rho(p))$, i.e. we have $G_N(\rho(p)) = -\widehat{F}_N(\rho(p))$, and hence $G_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) = \widehat{F}_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N))$.

To prove $\widehat{F}_N(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)) \subset i_0\big(\operatorname{Im} F_N\big)$, we need the following lemma. Let $\widehat{B}_N$ be the extended matrix of B_N defined by

$$\widehat{B}_N = \left(e\tbinom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}\right)_{\substack{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\cup\{(N,0)\} \\ (n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\cup\{(N,0)\}}}.$$

Lemma 6.6. *For each odd integer $N \geq 3$, there exists an element $(a_{n_1,n_2})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\cup\{(N,0)\}} \in \ker \widehat{B}_N$ such that $a_{N,0} \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\widehat{B}_3 = \left(e\binom{3,0}{3,0}\right) = (0)$, we have $\ker \widehat{B}_3 = \mathbb{Q}$. For the case $N \geq 5$ odd, such element in the statement is obtained from the extended period polynomial corresponding to the Eisenstein series, which was developed in [20]. For $k > 2$, let

$$\operatorname{eis}_k(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k \\ k_1,k_2\geq 0}} \frac{\mathbb{B}_{k_1}\mathbb{B}_{k_2}}{k_1!k_2!} x_1^{k_1-1} x_2^{k_2-1},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_k$ is the k-th Bernoulli number (this is [20, Eq. (11)]). Note that we have $\operatorname{eis}_k(x_1, x_2) = \operatorname{eis}_k(x_2, x_1)$. For $N \geq 5$ odd, we set $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1\operatorname{eis}_{N-1}(x_1, x_2)$ and define rational numbers a_{n_1,n_2}'s by

$$p(x_1, x_2) - p(0, x_2) = \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\cup\{(N,0)\}} a_{n_1,n_2} x_1^{n_1-1} x_2^{n_2-1}. \tag{6.11}$$

Since by definition we have $a_{N,0}\big(= \frac{\mathbb{B}_{N+1}}{(N+1)!}\big) \neq 0$, the proof is done by showing that the row vector $(a_{n_1,n_2})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\cup\{(N,0)\}}$ lies in $\ker \widehat{B}_N$.

As in the proof of Theorem 6.3, one finds that the assertion that a row vector $(a_{n_1,n_2})_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\cup\{(N,0)\}}$ lies in $\ker \widehat{B}_N$ is equivalent to the

statement that the polynomial $q(x_1,x_2)=\sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oe})\cup\{(N,0)\}} a_{n_1,n_2}x_1^{n_1-1}x_2^{n_2-1}$ satisfies

$$q(x_1,x_2)-q(x_2-x_1,x_2)+q(x_2-x_1,x_1)=(\text{odd polynomial in } x_1).$$

Now let us denote by $q(x_1,x_2)$ the left-hand side of (6.11). By [20, Proposition in p.453] and [10, Lemma in p.14], it follows that

$$\mathrm{eis}_k(x_1,x_2)-\mathrm{eis}_k(x_1+x_2,x_2)-\mathrm{eis}_k(x_1+x_2,x_1)=0,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x_1,x_2)&=x_1(\mathrm{eis}_{N-1}(x_1+x_2,x_2)+\mathrm{eis}_{N-1}(x_1+x_2,x_1)),\\
p(x_2,x_1)&=x_2\mathrm{eis}_{N-1}(x_2,x_1)=x_2\mathrm{eis}_{N-1}(x_1,x_2)\\
&=x_2(\mathrm{eis}_{N-1}(x_1+x_2,x_2)+\mathrm{eis}_{N-1}(x_1+x_2,x_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equations, one has

$$p(x_1,x_2)=-p(x_2,x_1)+p(x_1+x_2,x_2)+p(x_1+x_2,x_1).$$

Then, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&q(x_1,x_2)-q(x_2-x_1,x_2)+q(x_2-x_1,x_1)\\
&=-p(x_2,x_1)+p(x_2+x_1,x_1)+p(x_2-x_1,x_1)-p(0,x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, it is easily seen that the right-hand is an odd polynomial in x_1, so the proof is concluded. □

Let us turn to the proof of the inclusion $\widehat{F}_N\big(\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)\big)\subset i_0\big(\mathrm{Im}\,F_N\big)$. It suffices to show that for any $v\in\rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big)$, there exists $v'\in\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$ such that

$$\widehat{F}_N(v)=i_0\big(F_N(v')\big).$$

We note that, similar to (6.3), the matrix $\widehat{F}_N$ is a block diagonal matrix with blocks $\widehat{B}_{N-k}$ for $k=3,5,\dots,N-3$:

$$\widehat{F}_N=\mathrm{diag}(\widehat{B}_{N-3},\widehat{B}_{N-5},\dots,\widehat{B}_3).$$

By Lemma 6.6, for each $(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})$, one can obtain a row vector $v_{m_1,m_2}\in\ker\widehat{F}_N$ whose $(n_1,n_2,0)$-th entry is $\delta\binom{m_1,m_2}{n_1,n_2}$ for all $(n_1,n_2,0)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}$. Then, for any $\rho(p)=(a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}\in\rho(\mathbf{P}_N)$,

the element

$$\rho(p) - \sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{I}_N(\mathbf{oo})} a_{n_1,n_2,0}\cdot v_{n_1,n_2}$$

lies in $i_0\big(\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}\big)$. This shows that there is an element $v'\in\mathsf{Vect}_N^{(3)}$ satisfying $\widehat{F}_N(\rho(p)) = \widehat{F}_N(i_0(v'))$. Since $e\binom{m,N-m}{N,0} = 0$ whenever $N-m>0$, it is easily seen that the equality $\widehat{F}_N(i_0(v')) = i_0\big(F_N(v')\big)$ holds. Hence $\widehat{F}_N\big(\rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big)\big)$ belongs to $i_0\big(\operatorname{Im}F_N\big)$, so does $G_N\big(\rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big)\big)$.

(Claim 3). Let $v = (a_{n_1,n_2,n_3})_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}}$ be an element in $\rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big)\cap\ker G_N$. For each $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}$, from the assumption $G_N(v)=0$, we find that the rational numbers a_{n_1,n_2,n_3}'s satisfy

$$\sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}} \Big(\delta\tbinom{m_3}{n_3} b^{m_1}_{n_1,n_2} + \delta\tbinom{m_2}{n_1} b^{m_1}_{n_2,n_3}\Big) a_{m_1,m_2,m_3} = 0.$$

Subtracting (6.10) from the above relation, we obtain

$$\sum_{(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}} \Big(-\delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} + \delta\tbinom{m_2}{n_1} b^{m_1}_{n_2,n_3}\Big) a_{m_1,m_2,m_3} = 0. \tag{6.12}$$

Furthermore, by the definition of the space $\mathbf{P}_N$, every relation satisfied by the rational numbers a_{n_1,n_2,n_3}'s does not depend on the choices of n_3. Thus, the relation (6.12) can be reduced to

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N-m_3\\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\mathrm{odd}}} \Big(\delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} - \delta\tbinom{m_2}{n_1} b^{m_1}_{n_2,n_3}\Big) a_{m_1,m_2,m_3} = 0,$$

for any $m_3\geq 0$ even. Denote by $\alpha(n_1,n_2,n_3;m_3)$ the left-hand side of the above equation. Then, by (3.2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha(n_1,n_2,n_3;m_3) + \alpha(n_1,n_3,n_2;m_3)\\ &= \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2=N-m_3\\ m_1,m_2\geq 3:\mathrm{odd}}} \Big(\delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_2,n_3} + \delta\tbinom{m_1,m_2,m_3}{n_1,n_3,n_2}\Big) a_{m_1,m_2,m_3},\end{aligned}$$

which is 0 for any $m_3\geq 0$ even and $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}$. By letting $m_3 = n_3$, it is concluded that $a_{n_1,n_2,n_3} = 0$ for all $(n_1,n_2,n_3)\in\widehat{\mathbb{I}}_N^{(3)}$. Thus, we have $\rho\big(\mathbf{P}_N\big)\cap\ker G_N = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. □

Proof of Proposition 6.2. By Theorem 6.5, we find that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}\big(\operatorname{Im}F_N\cap\ker E_N\big) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbf{P}_N.$$

Then the assertion follows from (6.4). □

Remark 6.7. We expect that the map G_N in Theorem 6.5 is surjective, because our numerical experiments suggest

$$\operatorname{Im} F_N \cap \ker E_N \stackrel{?}{=} \ker E_N$$

and

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker E_N x^N \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x),$$

which have both been verified by using Mathematica up to $N = 30$.

Remark 6.8. Combining Remark 6.4 with Remark 6.7, we expect that

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \ker C_N^{(3)} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x) + (x+\frac{1}{x})\mathbb{O}(x)\mathbb{S}(x),$$

or equivalently, that

$$\sum_{N>0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{T}_N^{(3)} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{O}(x)^2 - \frac{1}{x^2}\mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{S}(x) - (x+\frac{1}{x})\mathbb{O}(x)\mathbb{S}(x).$$

References

[1] H. Bachmann, K. Tasaka, *The double shuffle relations for multiple Eisenstein series*, arXiv:1501.03408.

[2] S. Baumard, L. Schneps, *Period polynomial relations between double zeta values*, Ramanujan J., **32**(1) (2013), 83–100.

[3] D. Broadhurst, D. Kreimer, *Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops*, Phys. Lett. B **393**, no. 3-4 (1997), 403–412.

[4] F. Brown, *Mixed Tate motives over* $\mathbb{Z}$, Ann. of Math., **175**(2) (2012), 949–976.

[5] F. Brown, *Depth-graded motivic multiple zeta values*, arXiv:1301.3053.

[6] F. Brown, *Motivic periods and* $\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{0,1,\infty\}$, arXiv:1407.5165.

[7] F. Brown, *Zeta elements in depth 3 and the fundamental Lie algebra of a punctured elliptic curve*, arXiv:1504.04737.

[8] D. Ma, *Period polynomial relations between formal double zeta values of odd weight*, to appear in Math. Ann.

[9] D. Ma, *Inverse of a matrix related to double zeta values of odd weight*, arXiv:1510.06094.

[10] H. Gangl, M. Kaneko, D. Zagier, *Double zeta values and modular forms*, Automorphic forms and Zeta functions, In:Proceedings of the conference in memory of Tsuneo Arakawa, World Scientific, (2006), 71–106.

[11] A.B. Goncharov, *Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and noncommutative geometry*, Duke Math. J., **128**(2) (2005), 209–284.

[12] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compositio Math. **142** (2006), 307–338.

[13] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **155**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[14] M. Kaneko, K. Tasaka, *Double zeta values, double Eisenstein series, and modular forms of level 2*, Math. Ann., **357**(3) (2013), 1091–1118.

[15] W. Kohnen, D. Zagier, *Modular forms with rational periods*, Modular forms (Durham, 1983), Ellis Horwood (1984), 197–249.

[16] Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, H. Tsumura, *A study on multiple zeta values from the viewpoint of zeta-functions of root systems*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **51**(1) (2014), 43–46.

[17] E. Panzer, *The parity theorem for multiple polylogarithms*, arXiv:1512.04482

[18] K. Tasaka, *On linear relations among totally odd multiple zeta values related to period polynomials*, to appear in Kyushu Math. J.

[19] H. Tsumura, *H. Tsumura, Combinatorial relations for Euler–Zagier sums*, Acta Arith. **111** (2004), 27–42.

[20] D. Zagier, *Periods of modular forms and Jacobi theta functions*, Invent. math. **104** (1991), 449–465.

[21] D. Zagier, *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), Progr. Math., **120**, Birkhäuser, Basel (1994), 497–512.

[22] D. Zagier, *Periods of modular forms, traces of Hecke operators, and multiple zeta values*, in Hokei-keishiki to L-kansuu no kenkyuu (= Research on Automorphic Forms and L-Functions), RIMS Kokyuroku **843** (1993), 162–170.

[23] D. Zagier, *Evaluation of the multiple zeta values* $\zeta(2,\dots,2,3,2,\dots,2)$, Ann. of Math., **175**(2) (2012), 977–1000.

(Ding Ma) Department of Mathematics The University of Arizona, US

E-mail address: martin@math.arizona.edu

(Koji Tasaka) Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Japan

E-mail address: koji.tasaka@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp